

background as everybody else. First of all, that is unfair because you're requiring two payments for a person to be licensed to drive a car based on his or her age. My primary objection is the same one that I had when they first began putting pictures on driver's license and I knew these kind of nuances would creep into the whole thing. The driver's license is becoming an identification piece. If a merchant, a banker, or anybody else wants to accept a driver's license as identification, fine. But I don't think that because they want to do that, we should change the system of issuing driver's licenses. Everytime we bring about a change in certain aspects of the driver or the motor vehicle law and some other laws, it's to benefit those business persons who are using sloppy business practices. So rather than require them to be prudent to exercise due care, we're saying that we're going to change the law for your convenience and alter the entire system of issuing driver's licenses. I don't think that is an appropriate use or purpose for this item. So what my amendment would do is restore the provisions of the law touched by Section 3 to what they were before the introduction of this bill, namely, that the driver's license will serve the basic purpose of a driver's license, proof that this individual has paid the fees and met the requirements to operate a motor vehicle legally on the roads and thoroughfares of this state. Any other use is a perversion. So I'm asking that you adopt this amendment to the committee amendment.

PRESIDENT: Motion is to adopt the Chambers amendment to the committee amendment. The Chair recognizes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I don't really have any great quarrels with what Senator Chambers is objecting to, but unless I'm mistaken the return to strike the committee amendment, Senator Chambers, will return you to the original language in the bill, the new language in the bill which does require a different color background. Our committee amendment only made the adjustment from 20 to 21 in the event that that were to take place. I think that you probably want to strike the other language, Senator, that you find on page...on page 3 of the bill, if that's what your intent is.